

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/687,759	10/12/2000	llene M. Reinitz	254/255	1321		
25548	7590 03/03/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY US, LLP 4365 EXECUTIVE DRIVE, SUITE 1100			GREENE, DANIEL L			
), CA 92121-2133	100	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
			3621			
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/200	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

()/	Applie	cation No.	Applicant(s)			
		7,759	REINITZ ET AL.			
[™] Office Action Sumn	Exam	iner	Art Unit	-		
		L. Greene	3621			
The MAILING DATE of this of Period for Reply	communication appears or	the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above is less the - If NO period for reply is specified above, the no - Failure to reply within the set or extended perion - Any reply received by the Office later than three - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	pmmunication. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In r f this communication. nan thirty (30) days, a reply within the naximum statutory period will apply a od for reply will, by statute, cause the months after the mailing date of th	to event, however, may a reply a statutory minimum of thirty (30 and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on 12 October	2000				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action					
3) Since this application is in co	,—					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-108 is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowe 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-108 is/are rejecte 7) □ Claim(s) is/are object 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to	is/are withdrawn from ed. d. ed to.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected	to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that	• •	•	` ,			
11) The oath or declaration is ob	_		s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) fice Action or form PTO-152.).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sumr				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/01</u>. 			ill Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-108 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Taken as a whole the claims recite an undue multiplicity of claims by virtue of the unreasonable number of claims presented would tend to obfuscate, confuse, and becloud the claimed invention. Because the examiner believes that in his judgment that twenty-five (25) claims are sufficient to properly define applicants' invention, applicants are required to select certain claims, not to exceed twenty-five for examination on the merits, See M.P.E.P. 2173.05(n). To be complete the non-selected claims must be cancelled or the applicant(s) must present appropriate arguments as to why the above rejection is in error. Note most patents have less than twenty claims while patents in excess of 100 claims comprise less than 0.35% of all applications filed (See Federal Register: October 5, 1998 (Volume 63, Number 192, Page 53507).

It is further noted that it would appear that a multiplicity of inventions also appear to be involved and the applicants are requested to group their selection accordingly to read on a single invention. The applicant should group the claims according to what he believes to be distinct inventions, which may be restricted in a subsequent action.

Applicants are being afforded the courtesy of a written response due to the

Application/Control Number: 09/687,759 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

complexity of the case.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to because figure 1 utilizes hand written designation for the Figure Number. More than one (1) figure has the same numeric designation. i.e. 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6. Figure numbers does not identify certain tables. i.e. DCLR(5), 2-DCLR(6), Figure 10, not all the tables are identified, Figure 26 not all tables are identified and Figures 13-22 designation is hand written. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel L. Greene whose telephone number is 703-306-5539. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur. 8am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammell can be reached on 703-305-9768. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel L. Greene Examiner Art Unit 3621

2/23/2005